## Research Data Management: Trends and International Context

### Kathleen Shearer

Consultant, Research Data Canada

Research Associate, Canadian Association of Research Libraries

Executive Director, Confederation of Open Access Repositories

m.kathleen.shearer@gmail.com

@kathleeshearer

### **Open Science**

- Sharing and access to all types of research outputs including data (when appropriate)
- Transparency and validation of research findings
- Open peer review & open usage metrics
- Equitable flow of knowledge





- Began with open access to publications, but moving to data and other types of research outputs
- Parallels to Open Government/Open Data movement

### What is driving this trend?



- 1. Verification, reproducibility and transparency of scientific results
- 2. Better, more efficient research
- 3. New scientific discoveries through re-use datasets
- 4. Greater diffusion of knowledge and therefore social and economic impacts through application of research outputs
- 5. And because we can...



Source: OECD (2014), Measuring the Digital Economy: A New Perspective, OECD Publishing, Paris.

## The Poster Child:





- Sequencing centres agreed to make results available the same day
- (1990-2013) Finished coding the human genome 2 years ahead of time
- Contributes to treatment to diseases
- Billions of dollars in economic spinoff
- Transformed the way biomedical research is conducted

# Policies and laws are important levers to move open science forward



Simple Machines: Lever

### Moving towards openness



## G8 Science Ministers, June 2013

UK, Russia, Germany, Japan, Italy, Canada, France, US and EC



Expanding Access to Scientific Research Results

We endorse the principle that increasing access to the peer-reviewed, published results of publicly funded published research will accelerate research, drive innovation, and benefit the economy.

Open Scientific Research Data

We are committed to openness in scientific research data to speed up the progress of scientific discovery, create innovation, ensure that the results of scientific research are as widely available as practical, enable transparency in science and engage the public in the scientific process.

# Canada's Action Plan on Open Government 2014-2016



"...the Government of Canada will establish a government-wide approach to Open Science to increase access to federally-funded scientific publications and data."



From Sherpa-Juliet: <u>http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/juliet/stats.php?la=en&mode=simple</u>

### Typical Elements of a Data Policy

#### **Policy requirements**

Data quality and standards	Investigators are required to adhere to international standards to enable access and reuse in the discipline.	
	Data documentation and metadata must accompany data so that the data is understandable by others.	
Data access and sharing	Investigators are required to make data available to be shared (usually upon publication of results or shortly thereafter, although some agencies do allow embargo periods).	
	Requirements for deposit of metadata into a local or national catalogue	
Data retention and preservation	Data should be retained for a certain time limit, where possible, investigators must deposit their data in a long-term archive to ensure the preservation of their data.	
Data management plans	Research proposals must include a Data Management Plan in proposal.	

## Exceptions

Common exceptions to policies		
Privacy	The rights and privacy of individuals who participate in research must be protected at all times. Thus, data intended for broader use should be free of identifiers that would permit linkages to individual research participants and variables that could lead to deductive disclosure of the identity of individual subjects.	
Traditional knowledge	Where local and traditional knowledge is concerned, rights of the knowledge holders shall not be compromised.	
Data of a sensitive nature	Where data release may cause harm, specific aspects of the data may need to be kept protected (for example, locations of nests of endangered birds or locations of sacred sites).	
Intellectual property/Data ownership	It may be necessary on occasion to delay publication for a short period to allow time for applications to be drafted.	

## Data Management Plans portage



Sections	Questions	
Data Collection	<ul> <li>What types of data will you collect, create, link to, acquire and/or record?</li> <li>What file formats will your data be collected in? Will these formats allow for data re-use, sharing and long-term access to the data?</li> <li>What conventions and procedures will you use to structure, name and version-control your files to help you and others better understand how your data are organized?</li> </ul>	
Documentation and Metadata	<ul> <li>What documentation will be needed for the data to be read and interpreted correctly in the future?</li> <li>How will you make sure that documentation is created or captured consistently throughout your project?</li> <li>If you are using a metadata standard and/or tools to document and describe your data, please list here.</li> </ul>	
Storage and Backup	<ul> <li>What are the anticipated storage requirements for your project, in terms of storage space (in megabytes, gigabytes, terabytes, etc.) and the length of time you will be storing it?</li> <li>How and where will your data be stored and backed up during your research project?</li> <li>How will the research team and other collaborators access, modify, and contribute data throughout the project?</li> </ul>	
Preservation	<ul> <li>Where will you deposit your data for long-term preservation and access at the end of your research project?</li> <li>Indicate how you will ensure your data is preservation ready. Consider preservation-friendly file formats, ensuring file integrity, anonymization and de-identification, inclusion of supporting documentation.</li> </ul>	
Sharing and Reuse	<ul> <li>What data will you be sharing and in what form? (e.g. raw, processed, analyzed, final).</li> <li>Have you considered what type of end-user license to include with your data?</li> <li>What steps will be taken to help the research community know that your data exists?</li> </ul>	
Responsibilities and Resources	<ul> <li>Identify who will be responsible for managing this project's data during and after the project and the major data management tasks for which they will be responsible.</li> <li>How will responsibilities for managing data activities be handled if substantive changes happen in the personnel overseeing the project's data, including a change of Principal Investigator?</li> <li>What resources will you require to implement your data management plan? What do you estimate the overall cost for data management to be?</li> </ul>	
Ethics and Legal Compliance	<ul> <li>If your research project includes sensitive data, how will you ensure that it is securely managed and accessible only to approved members of the project?</li> <li>If applicable, what strategies will you undertake to address secondary uses of sensitive data?</li> <li>How will you manage legal, ethical, and intellectual property issues?</li> </ul>	

### But we need more than just policies Research Data Management is like a three-legged stool...



### Data sharing practices

#### DATA SHARING TRENDS BY COUNTRY

46% SHARING 54% NOT SHARING

#### UNITED STATES

Among researchers in the US sharing their data publicly two out of three do so because it is standard practice in the communities and because they believe it benefits the public. Similar to their counterbarts in the UK, the majority of US-based researchers also share data to increase the impact or visionity of their research.

#### 43% sharing 57% NOT SHARING

#### UNITED KINGDOM

While more than 40% of UK researchers are sharing data, only about M% are using discipline-specific or other public repositories tike Dryad and Fighnare. The two key drivers that motivate UK researchers to share their data are the prospect of gaining increased impact or visibility for their work and to satisfy funder requirements.

#### 44% sharing 56% not sharing

JAPAN Compared with their counterparts around the world, researchers in Jepen cite concerns about being scooped as a reason for not sharing data more frequently Nearly five out of ten Japanose researchers point to this as a reason for not sharing their data, roughly double the global average 36% SHARING 64% NOT SHARING CHINA Neary five in ten Chinese researche

Chinese researchers say they are not sharing. data because they are not required to do so by their funders or Institutions. They are more nexy than their global counterparts to say that they do not see data sharing as a personal responsibility. and plan to take direction from functors. to guide their date sharing decisions in the future.

52% SHARING 48% NOT SHARING

#### BRAZIL

Two out of three researchers in Brazi say that a guarantee of procer credit or attribution would competithem to share more of their data publicly in the future.



#### AUSTRALIA

Researchers in Australia say they would be most incentivized to make their data accessible in the future to ensure preservation as well as transparency and re-use. The majority of researchers also ranked funder requirements among top reasons to share in the future. 55% sharing 45% not sharing

#### GERMANY

Among German researchers sharing their data publicly three out of four and driven to share data because they believe it will increase the visibility of their research. and ward to ensure. public transparency and re-use About 20% of German researchers are making use of general purpose repositories. (like figshare and Dryad). significantly more than their counterparts around the world, including those in the US and UK.

From Wiley's Research Data Insights Survey, 2014 <u>http://exchanges.wiley.com/blog/2014/11/03/how-and-why-researchers-share-data-and-why-they-don't/</u> 2,250 responses from around the world

# E casrai

### Data tension

Human tension and/or stress related to the sharing or release of data resulting from concerns about: (a) unknowns about users, uses, and what users will learn from the data before the data producers themselves learn it; (b) what users will learn from the data; (c) data quality; (d) data traceability (or lack thereof); (e) potential requests for additional documentation and metadata; (f) potential questions concerning methodology used to produce the data; (g) lack of resources to support data sharing; (h) governance; (i) social or political interests and impact; (j) data ownership; (k) the desire to ëhold backí data to give researchers the time to publish articles based on those data; and/or (l) perceived risk of data misuse or misinterpretation.

Discussion

# BIG DATA!

### 90% of world's data has been generated over last two years

http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/ 2013/05/130522085217.htm



**DATA NEVER SLEEPS 2.0** 

How Much Data is Generated Every Minute?

**USERS UPLOAD** 

HRS

204,000,000 MESSAGES,

PINTERES

USERS P

internation is Stating amount from stage. To tempting in talk about log data and in terms state. Eng data description for manager, and protect of shaped activity publishing through califies a strategies. But it due to be the set of the things are were source about in manager buffers. With source shaped and there is not the set of the things are were source about in manager buffers. With

Image: Erik Fitzpatrick licensed CC BY 2.0

Infrastructure and Services Data sharing requires good management across the data lifecycle



### The data landscape





These services still only support a small portion of the research datasets produced by researchers around the world!

### The data landscape

The 2011 survey by *Science*, found that 48.3% of respondents were working with datasets that were less than 1GB in size and over half of those polled store their data only in their laboratories. *Science* 11 February 2011: Vol. 331 no. 6018 pp. 692-693 *DOI:* 10.1126/science.331.6018.692





### Institutional Role: UK

#### In this section

#### **Briefing Papers**

How-to Guides & Checklists

Developing RDM Services

**Curation Lifecycle Model** 

Curation Reference Manual

#### Policy and legal

Five Steps to Developing a Research Data Policy

Overview of funders' data policies

Funders' data policies

Institutional data policies

Policy tools and guidance

RDM guidance webpages

#### Roadmaps to EPSRC Expectations

Freedom of information FAQ

MRC data plan FAQ

Open source FAQ

Data Management Plans

Tools

Case studies

Repository audit and assessment

Standards

#### Publications and presentations

#### Roadmaps to EPSRC expectations on research data

In April 2011, the Engineering & Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) set out its research data management expectations for institutions & in receipt of EPSRC grant funding, which included the development of an institutional 'Roadmap'.



#### Higher Education Institutions and their Roadmaps:

- Oxford Brookes University published their roadmap & in May 2012.
- Keele University made available their draft roadmap on 1 June 2012.
- University of Bath announced the publication of its roadmap & on 7 June 2012. The Glossary & Definitions section in particular has been welcomed by

#### **Related Information**

Five DCC blogs posts on developing roadmaps

- A Journey Shared
- Turning Roadmaps to Action
- Navigating the Potholes
- The Essential Data Roadmap
- Developing a Roadmap for RDM

### **Emergence of National Data Services**



Shared stewardship of research data

The National Data Service (NDS) is an emerging vision for how scientists and researchers across all disciplines can find, reuse, and publish data. It builds on the data archiving and sharing efforts already underway within specific communities and links them together with a common set of tools designed around the following capabilities: